

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
-09/514,762	02/28/2000	Donald C. Abbott	TI-26904	9361	
7.	590 01/30/2002				
Gary C Honeycutt			EXAMINER		
Texas Instrume PO Box 65547	ents Incorporated 4		CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
MS 3999 Dallas, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			3729		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002	DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/514,762	ABOTT ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Rick K. Chang	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	<u>anuary 2002</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15,17,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	cation No			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the control of the control of the control of the certified of the control of the certified copies of the prior of the prior of the certified copies of the prior of the prior of the certified copies o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15)          Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.     </li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/514,762 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on July 17, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 1. 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/514,762 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, claims 16 and 18, in Paper No. 9 is 2. acknowledged.

# Specification

- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not describe a 4. method of manufacturing an intermediate base structure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 6. for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/514,762

Art Unit: 3729

There are numerous phrases and clauses in the claims that are vague, indefinite, and/or awkwardly and confusingly worded, and therefore, are not fully understood. The following are examples:

claim 16: the limitations "forming . . . second surface" and "creating . . . flex film" render the claim vague and indefinite. The limitations are contradicting the disclosure by forming vias in two separate steps. It's unclear as to which forming via step corresponds with the disclosure.

Claim 16, line 5: the limitation "the first surface" lacks positive antecedent basis.

Claim 16, line 6: the limitation "a metal matrix embossing tool as described in claim 10" renders the claim vague and indefinite. The limitation fails to set forth metes and bounds of the embossing tool. Recommend incorporating the embossing tool limitations into claim 16.

Claim 18, line 5: the limitation "said raised areas" lacks positive antecedent basis.

Claim 18, line 8: the limitation "the film" lacks positive antecedent basis.

Claim 18, line 9: the limitation "the dielectric film" lacks positive antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 16 and 18, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by F. J. W. Jansen (US 2,427,144)

Jansen discloses applying force using an embossing tool (1 and 9) to form a plurality of vias.

Art Unit: 3729

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

> Rick K. Chang Examiner

Art Unit 3729

RC

January 28, 2002